

Sheet 1 of 1

SUBSTITUTE FORM PTO-1449 (MODIFIED)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		Attorney Docket No. Serial No. Applicant Filing Date Group IDS Filed		50160/002003 09/628,607 Paul T. Clark July 31, 2000 September 11, 2000	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)							
(37 CFR §1.98(b))							
U.S. PATENTS							
Examiner's Initials	Patent Number	Issue Date	Patentee	Class	Subclass	Filing Date (If Appropriate)	
FOREIGN PATENT OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATION							
Examiner's Initials	Document Number	Publication Date	Country or Patent Office	Class	Subclass	Translation (Yes/No)	
OTHER DOCUMENTS (INCLUDING AUTHOR, TITLE, DATE, PLACE OF PUBLICATION)							
/MHP/		www.toptutors.com					
EXAMINER			/Melvin Pollack/		DATE CONSIDERED		
					08/03/2007		
EXAMINER: Initial citation considered. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with the next communication to applicant.							



PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 50160/002003

Certificate of Mailing: Date of Deposit: January 17, 2006

I hereby certify under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as **first class mail** with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is addressed to RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Kim LaSelva

Printed name of person mailing correspondence

Kim LaSelva

Signature of person mailing correspondence

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Margaret A. Moore

Art Unit: 2145

Serial No.: 09/628,607

Examiner: M.H. Pollack

Filed: July 31, 2000

Customer No.: 21559

Title: INTERNET COACHING

Mail Stop RCE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

/MHP/ Applicants know of anecdotal (not documented) prior groups of coaches who conducted scheduled e-mail or phone coaching sessions with clients to address ongoing personal concerns of clients using e-mail. These activities were not, as far as can be determined, web-based; there was no platform used by all of the coaches, and a client and a coach could not view a personal website pertaining to the client. Nor did coaches, as far as can be determined, maintain personal client information on websites not accessible by other clients.

/Melvin Pollack/

08/03/2007